

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.asylo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/545,658 | 04/10/2000 | Rick A. Briggs | CKING.036CP1 | 2398 | |
| 20995 7590 0326/2008 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | | | OMOTOSHO, EMMANUEL | | |
| FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3714 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 02/24/2009 | EL ECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 09/545,658 | BRIGGS ET AL. | | | | |
| Examiner-initiated interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | EMMANUEL OMOTOSHO | 3714 | | | | |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>RCE filed</u> | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>EMMANUEL OMOTOSHO</u> . | (3) <u>Christian Fox</u> . | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Ronald Laneau</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 4 March 2008 | Time: | | | | | |
| Type of Interview: | | | | | | |
| Part I. | | | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 103 in view of Goldsmith, Tillery and Ackley | | | | | | |
| Claims discussed: 1 and 52 | | | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Goldsmith Tillery and Akcley | | | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL Applicant argued that the claimed invention is different from the control of the | | | | | | |
| Part III. | | | | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | | | | |
| /Ronald Laneau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714 | policont/Apolicont's Depresented | ive Signature (fannyagista) | | | | |
| (A | applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive эівпанне – п арргоргіате) | | | | |